# Difunctionalization of Alkenes via the Visible-Light-Induced Trifluoromethylarylation/1,4-Aryl Shift/Desulfonylation Cascade Reactions

Lewei Zheng, Chao Yang,\* ZhaoZhong Xu, Fei Gao, and Wujiong Xia\*

State Key Lab of Urban Water Resource and Environment, the Academy of Fundamental and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** A novel visible-light-induced trifluoromethylarylation/1,4-aryl shift/desulfonylation cascade reaction using CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl as CF<sub>3</sub> source was described. The protocol provides an efficient approach for the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -aryl- $\beta$ -trifluoromethyl amides and/or CF<sub>3</sub>-containing oxindoles as well as the isoquinolinediones under benign conditions.



# INTRODUCTION

The trifluoromethyl moiety is one of the prevalent and important groups in functional molecular agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals and materials, due to its biological activities and physical properties.<sup>1-4</sup> In recent years, extensive efforts have been made to explore the incorporation of trifluoromethyl moiety into organic molecules that include nucleophilic, electrophilic, and radical trifluoromethylation path-ways.<sup>5-14,48-55</sup> Among these oxidative difunctionalizations of alkenes, radical transformation has been proven to be an attractive strategy to build CF<sub>3</sub> containing molecules and has attracted considerable attention. For example, Nevado and coworkers reported an efficient and complementary method for Cu and tetrabutylammonium iodide catalyzed intramolecular aryltrifluoromethylation/1,4-aryl migration/desulfonylation reaction.<sup>15</sup> Subsequently the Liu group also developed carbotrifluoromethylation of alkenes using the combination of TMSCF<sub>3</sub>/KF/PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub>.<sup>16</sup> Despite these advances, there is still a great demand to develop the alternative, promising and environmentally friendly protocol for trifluoromethylation of alkenes under mild conditions.

In the past few years, visible-light photoredox catalysis has been regard as an ecofriendly and effective strategy for the advantages of convenience, availability and safety.<sup>17–28</sup> Recently, visible-light-mediated radical difunctionalization of alkenes to introduce the trifluoromethyl group serves as a feasible and efficient method.<sup>29–39</sup> However, when the various transformations involving hydrotrifluoromethylation,<sup>29,34</sup> halotrifluoromethylation,<sup>30,32</sup> aminotrifluoromethylation,<sup>31,35</sup> and oxytrifluoromethylation<sup>35,38</sup> have been well documented, the visible-light-induced carbotrifluoromethylation of alkenes is quite rare. With our continuous efforts devoted to photochemical reactions,<sup>40–45</sup> herein we developed a trifluoromethylation initiated desulfonylation followed by either C–N or C– H bond formation using  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  as  $CF_3$  resource under mild photocatalytic conditions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our preliminary investigation was started from tosyl amide 1a as model substrate for the screening of reaction conditions (Table 1). In presence of  $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$ , Togni's reagent 2c, and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> in MeCN, to our delight, compound 1a was transformed to product 3a in 36% yield through an aryltrifluoromethylation/desulfonylation cascade reaction when 5 W blue LEDs were used as light source (Table 1, entry 1). Subsequently, the examination of solvents showed that the reaction in DMSO, DMF, or CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> did not improve the yield (entries 4-6). Furthermore, the change of photocatalyst and base showed the same result (entries 2 and 3). The  $CF_{3}I$  also showed the low yield (entry 13). However, when the oxidant 2c was replaced by CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl, the yield was increased to 80% (entry 7). Further experiments showed that both light and photocatalyst were essential for the reaction (entries 9 and 10). In addition, no reaction was observed under air atmosphere or without CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl (entry 11 and 12).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we set out to evaluate the scope of the reaction. Therefore, a range of substrates containing various substituents which directly bound to the N atom, such as butyl, isopropyl and methyl, were submitted to the reaction conditions, which led to the corresponding products (Scheme 1, 3a-3k, 3k'). Both the electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups at the *para* position of the aromatic ring of 1 were tolerant with the reaction conditions to achieve the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (Scheme 1, 3b-3g, 3h and 3j). When

 Received:
 March 26, 2015

 Published:
 May 8, 2015

#### Table 1. Screening of the Optimal Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), catalyst **2** (0.005 mmol), base ( $K_2$ HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 mmol), oxidant (0.2 mmol), solvent (anhydrous 2 mL), 5 W blue LEDs light, rt, under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>*c*</sup>Base (KF, 0.2 mmol). <sup>*a*</sup>In the dark. <sup>*c*</sup>Under air.

Scheme 1. Scope of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -Unsaturated Imide Alkenes 1<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **1** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.005 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol),  $K_2HPO_4$  (0.2 mmol), anhydrous MeCN (2 mL), 5 W blue LEDs light, rt, under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield.

the substituent at the *meta* position of aromatic ring of 1 was replaced by NO<sub>2</sub>, the regioisomers was obtained with a ratio of 2:5 (Scheme 1, 3k, 3k'). However, a very complex product was observed for the *ortho* substituted substrates that might be owing to the effect of the steric hindrance.<sup>46</sup>

Interestingly, if the substituents on N atom were changed from alkyl to aryl groups, a unique 1,4-aryl shift was observed rather than the radical cyclization. For example, the reaction of 4a under the standard reaction conditions led to the product 5a through a trifluoromethylation/1,4-aryl shift/desulfonylation cascade reaction. Such a result represents the first example of visible light photocatalytic approach on the above transformations and triggers us to investigate it in details, although the similar results have recently reported by Nevado and coworkers under metal-catalytic conditions.<sup>15</sup> Further investigation revealed that the reaction could conduct well in the absence of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> to give the product in 71% yield (Scheme 2). To investigate the scope of the reaction, the effect of





"Reaction conditions: 4 (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.005 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol), anhydrous MeCN (2 mL), 5 W blue LEDs light, rt, under  $N_2$  atmosphere. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

substituents of the sulfonamide group was examined, which led to the corresponding products in moderate to good yields (Scheme 2, 5a-5e, 5i). Next we also studied the influence of substituents of the aryl group that directly bounded to the N atom. Electron-donating groups at the *para* or *meta* position were well compatible with the reaction to form the products 5f, 5g, 5j in good yields. In contrast, the present of electron-withdrawing groups decreased the efficiency of the reaction (5h, 5k).

With our continuous studies on the application of this protocol, we also prepared amide **6a** and subjected it to the reaction conditions, and trifluoromethylated isoquinolinedione 7a was obtained smoothly in 70% yield (Scheme 3). Substrates bearing methyl and F groups at the *para* position of the aryl ring or having different substituents at N atoms were also tolerant with the reaction conditions, providing the desired products 7b-7e.

Upon the basis of the above results, a plausible mechanism of the protocol was proposed in Scheme 4. The photocatalyst  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  was activated by visible light to the excited state  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+*}$ , which then reduced CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>Cl to generate CF<sub>3</sub> radical after release of a Cl<sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>2</sub> The CF<sub>3</sub> radical was trapped by 1, 4 (X = SO<sub>2</sub>) or 6 (X = CO) to give the intermediate **B** after formation of a new C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–CF<sub>3</sub> bond.

Scheme 3. Trifluoromethylation of  $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -Unsaturated Imide Alkenes 6<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>



<sup>*a*</sup>Reaction conditions: **6** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.005 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol),  $K_2HPO_4$  (0.2 mmol), anhydrous MeCN (2 mL), 5 W blue LEDs light, rt, under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. <sup>*b*</sup>Isolated yield.

Arylmigration/desulfonylation cascade reaction occurred to form the intermediate C when X is SO<sub>2</sub>, due to the instability of intermediate B.<sup>15</sup> Cyclization of amidyl radical to the aryl ring of intermediate C led to the final product 3 if the substituent R on the N atom was alkyl (R = alkyl), a process that seems prefer to be trapped by the aromatic ring.<sup>15</sup> In contrast, **5** was obtained through the direct hydrogen abstraction from the medium, which might be owing to the stability of nitrogen radical when R was aryl group.<sup>56</sup> For X = CO, the intramolecular radical cyclization of **B** led to the intermediate **A**, which produced isoquinolinedione 7 after oxidation and deprotonation.

## CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed the visible-light-induced trifluoromethylarylation/1,4-aryl shift/desulfonylation cascade reactions. It provides a practical and effective method for the synthesis of trifluoromethyl oxindoles and  $\alpha$ -aryl- $\beta$ -trifluoromethyl amides. In addition, the trifluoromethyl isoquinoline-dione could also be obtained in this protocol. The method described in this paper is highlighted by its operational

simplicity (under light), low catalyst loading (5% catalyst), and less additives (the base  $KH_2PO_4$  was not need for the trifluoromethylation of 4).

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**General Methods.** Amide 1, 4 and 6 were synthesized according to previous literature,<sup>2j,3,4</sup> and the NMR spectroscopys were consisted with those data. <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were obtained at 400 and 100 MHz; HRMS (ESI) spectra were obtained using a QTOF mass spectrometer. GC–MS analysis was obtained by quadrupole analyzer. The starting materials were purchased from commercial sources used without additional purification.

**Characterization of New Substrates.** *N*-*Butyl*-*N*-(*phenylsulfonyl*)*methacrylamide* (*1a*). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.91 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 3.77–3.73 (m, 2H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.27 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  172.5, 141.0, 139.6, 133.7, 129.1, 128.3, 119.3, 47.4, 31.9, 20.1, 19.8, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 281.1 (1%), 225.1, 140.0, 124.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 304.0978, found 304.0977.

*N-Butyl-N-(4-fluorophenylsulfonyl)methacrylamide* (**1b**). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.98 (s, 2H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 3.76 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.95 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.34–1.29 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.3, 165.7 (d, *J* = 256.5 Hz), 140.7, 135.4 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz), 131.3 (d, *J* = 9.7 Hz), 119.4, 116.3 (d, *J* = 22.6 Hz), 47.7, 32.1, 20.0, 19.7, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 299.1 (1%), 244.1, 159.1, 124.2, 95.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 322.0884, found 322.0894.

*N*-Butyl-*N*-(4-chlorophenylsulfonyl)methacrylamide (1c). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.90 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 3.78–3.75 (m, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.68–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.29 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.4, 140.7, 140.4, 137.9, 129.9, 129.3, 119.6, 47.7, 32.1, 20.0, 19.8, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 315.1 (1%), 175.0, 124.0, 111.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 338.0588, found 338.0600.

*N-Butyl-N-(4-methoxyphenylsulfonyl)methacrylamide* (1d). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H),



#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

6.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.13 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.76–3.73 (m, 2H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.34–1.27 (m, 2H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.4, 163.7, 140.9, 130.9, 130.6, 118.9, 114.1, 55.8, 47.3, 32.0, 20.0, 19.8, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 311.1 (1%), 247.2, 171.1, 155.0, 124.2 (100%), 69.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>21</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 334.1083, found 334.1088.

*N*-(*4*-Bromophenylsulfonyl)-*N*-butylmethacrylamide (**1f**). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 3.78–3.74 (m, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.27 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.3, 140.6, 138.5, 132.3, 129.9, 128.9, 119.6, 47.7, 32.1, 20.0, 19.7, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 361.1 (1%), 359.1 (1%), 220.9, 219.0, 157.0, 155.0, 124.2, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 382.0083, found 382.0094.

*N*-Butyl-*N*-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenylsulfonyl)methacryla-mide (**1g**). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.82 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 3.79 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.36–1.30 (m, 2H), 0.94 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.4, 143.0, 140.5, 135.3 (q, *J* = 33.1 Hz), 129.0, 126.2, 123.2 (q, *J* = 273.0 Hz), 119.9, 47.9, 32.2, 20.0, 19.7, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 349.1 (1%), 294.1, 229.1, 209.0, 145.0, 124.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 372.0852, found 372.0868.

*N*-Butyl-*N*-(3-nitrophenylsulfonyl)methacrylamide (**1***k*). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.79 (s, 1H), 8.48 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.22 (s, 1H), 3.84–3.80 (m, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H), 1.72–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.37–1.28 (m, 2H), 0.93 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.3, 148.1, 141.5, 140.2, 134.31, 130.3, 128.2, 123.7, 120.1, 48.3, 32.4, 20.0, 19.7, 13.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 326.1 (1%), 271.1, 253.0, 186.0, 124.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 349.0829, found 349.0821.

*N*-(4-Chlorophenylsulfonyl)-*N*-phenylmethacrylamide (**4b**). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.51–7.41 (m, 5H), 7.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  171.1, 140.6, 139.2, 137.1, 136.6, 131.0, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.1, 125.3, 19.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 335.0 (1%), 159.0, 144.1, 111.1, 91.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 358.0275, found 358.0281.

*N*-(4-Fluorophenylsulfonyl)-*N*-phenylmethacrylamide (4*c*). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.96–7.93 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 3H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 4H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.1, 165.9 (d, *J* = 256.5 Hz), 139.2, 137.1, 134.1 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 132.4 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz), 129.9, 129.5, 129.5, 125.1, 116.0 (d, *J* = 22.4 Hz), 19.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 319.1 (1%), 291.1, 144.1, 95.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 342.0571, found 342.0579.

*N*-(4-Methoxyphenylsulfonyl)-*N*-phenylmethacrylamide (4d). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.86 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.16 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  171.0, 163.8, 139.4, 137.3, 131.7, 130.0, 129.5, 129.3, 129.2, 124.3, 113.8, 55.7, 19.3; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 331.1 (1%), 267.1, 171.1, 144.1 (100%), 69.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 354.0770, found 354.0762.

*N*-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-*N*-tosylmethacrylamide (**4g**). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.79 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  171.1, 160.3, 144.8, 139.7, 135.4, 131.3, 129.6, 129.5, 129.3, 124.0, 114.5, 55.6, 21.8, 19.4; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 345.1 (1%), 281.1, 174.0, 91.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 368.0927, found 368.0921.

*N*-(4-Fluorophenyl)-*N*-tosylmethacrylamide (4h). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{H}$  7.77 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.2

Hz, 2H), 7.14–7.04 (m, 4H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  170.9, 163.0 (d, J = 250.7 Hz), 145.1, 139.5, 135.2, 133.2 (d, J = 3.3 Hz), 131.9 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 129.5, 129.5, 124.5, 116.4 (d, J = 22.9 Hz), 21.8, 19.5; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 333.1 (1%), 269.1, 162.1, 109.1, 91.1, 69.1 (100%); HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 356.0727, found 356.0728.

*N-Phenyl-N-(o-tolylsulfonyl)methacrylamide (4i).* White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.07 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.35 (m, 4H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  171.2, 139.6, 139.0, 137.4, 136.7, 133.8, 132.6, 131.9, 130.3, 129.5, 129.4, 126.3, 124.1, 21.0, 19.4; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 315.1 (1%), 144.1, 91.1, 69.1 (100%), 41.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 338.0821, found 338.0819.

*N-m-Tolyl-N-tosylmethacrylamide* (*4j*). White solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.79 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  171.1, 144.8, 139.5, 139.4, 137.1, 135.6, 130.9, 130.2, 129.5, 129.3, 129.0, 127.0, 124.2, 21.8, 21.4, 19.4; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 329.0 (1%), 301.1, 265.1, 158.1 (100%), 91.1, 69.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup>, 352.0978, found 352.0980.

4-Fluoro-N-methacryloyl-N-methylbenzamide (6c). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.57–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.13–7.09 (m, 2H), 5.31 (s, 1H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 1.73 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  175.2, 173.2, 164.9 (d, J = 254.1 Hz), 143.0, 133.4 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 131.0 (d, J = 8.9 Hz), 122.3, 116.1 (d, J = 22.1 Hz), 33.7, 18.8. GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 221.1, 206.1, 164.0, 136.1, 123.0, 95.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>FNO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 222.0925, found 222.0921.

*N-Butyl-N-methacryloylbenzamide* (*6d*). Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.49–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.42–7.39 (m, 2H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.11 (s, 1H), 3.94–3.91 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.70 (m, 2H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.45–1.41 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  175.2, 174.3, 144.0, 138.1, 131.9, 128.9, 128.6, 122.2, 46.5, 31.0, 20.4, 18.6, 13.9. GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 245.2, 230.1, 216.2, 203.1, 160.1, 105.1, 77.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 246.1489, found 246.1481.

*N-Benzyl-N-methacryloylbenzamide* (*6e*).<sup>47</sup> Colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.53–7.44 (m, 5H), 7.41–7.29 (m, 5H), 5.21 (s, 1H), 5.12 (s, 2H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.9, 174.0, 143.7, 137.9, 137.4, 132.0, 129.0, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 127.8, 122.6, 49.8, 18.6. GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 279.1, 210.1, 174.1, 105.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 280.1332, found 280.1325.

General Procedure for Trifluoromethylation of 1a–1k. In a 10 mL bottom flask, the magnetic stir bar was added. Then it was charged with substrate 1 (0.1 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol),  $CH_3CN$  (2.0 mL),  $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$  (0.005 mmol) and  $K_2HPO_4$  (0.2 mmol). The mixture was charged with N<sub>2</sub> three times under -78 °C and then was irradiated under blue LEDs (5 W). After the substrate was consumed (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford the desired product 3.

**Characterization of 3a–3k and 3k**′. 1-Butyl-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3a**). 22.8 mg, 80% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.34–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.84–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.71–3.64 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.61 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.66 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.39 (m, 5H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.5, 142.5, 131.4, 128.5, 125.4 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 123.8, 122.5, 108.9, 44.4, 40.8 (q, *J* = 28.4 Hz), 40.1, 29.4, 25.4, 20.2, 13.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.1; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 285.1, 242.1, 214.1, 130.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 286.1413, found 286.1418.

1-Butyl-G-fluoro-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3b**). 22.1 mg, 73% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)

 $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.22–7.19 (m, 1H), 6.79–6.73 (m, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.81–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.67–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.71–2.58 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.38 (m, 5H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  178.8, 163.3 (d, J = 244.7 Hz), 144.0 (d, J = 11.4 Hz), 126.5 (d, J = 3.1 Hz), 125.3 (q, J = 278.3 Hz), 124.8 (d, J = 9.8 Hz), 108.7 (d, J = 22.3 Hz), 97.6 (d, J = 27.5 Hz), 44.1, 40.7 (q, J = 28.3 Hz), 40.3, 29.3, 25.5, 20.2, 13.8;  $^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm F}$  –61.1, –111.9; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 303.1, 260.1, 232.1, 148.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)+ calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>4</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 304.1319, found 304.1312.

1-Butyl-6-chloro-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3c**). 22.4 mg, 70% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.19 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 3.81–3.74 (m, 1H), 3.68–3.62 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.70– 2.61 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.38 (m, 5H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.4, 143.7, 134.4, 129.6, 125.2 (q, *J* = 278.5 Hz), 124.7, 122.4, 109.6, 44.2, 40.6 (q, *J* = 28.4 Hz), 40.2, 29.3, 25.4, 20.2, 13.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$ –61.9; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 319.2, 276.1, 248.1, 164.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 320.1024, found 320.1024.

1-Butyl-6-methoxy-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3d**). 12.6 mg, 40% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.17 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.49 (s, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.80–3.73 (m, 1H), 3.67–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.87–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.68–2.57 (m, 1H), 1.70–7.63 (m, 2H), 1.45–1.36 (m, 5H), 0.98 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  179.1, 160.4, 143.7, 125.5 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 124.4, 123.2, 106.0, 97.0, 55.7, 44.0, 40.8 (q, *J* = 28.2 Hz), 40.1, 29.4, 25.5, 20.2, 13.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm F}$  –61.8; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 315.2, 300.1, 272.1, 244.2, 232.2, 190.1, 176.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 316.1519, found 316.1521.

1-Butyl-3,6-dimethyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3e**).<sup>15</sup> 27.2 mg, 85% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$ 7.16 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (s, 1H), 3.82– 3.75 (m, 1H), 3.70–3.62 (m, 1H), 2.86–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.61 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.69–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.44–1.40 (m, 5H), 0.99 (t, J =7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.8, 142.6, 138.7, 128.4, 125.5 (q, J = 278.3 Hz), 123.5, 123.0, 109.7, 44.2, 40.8 (q, J =28.2 Hz), 40.0, 29.5, 25.5, 22.0, 20.2, 13.9; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 299.1, 256.1, 228.1, 144.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NONa<sup>+</sup>, 322.1389, found 322.1391.

6-Bromo-1-butyl-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3f**). 23.5 mg, 65% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.23 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 3.81– 3.74 (m, 1H), 3.67–3.60 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.70–2.58 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.64 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.38 (m, 5H), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.3, 143.7, 130.2, 125.3,125.2 (q, *J* = 278.3 Hz) 125.1, 122.2, 112.3, 44.2, 40.6 (q, *J* = 28.4 Hz), 40.2, 29.3, 25.4, 20.2, 13.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –61.9; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 356.1, 363.1, 322.1, 320.1, 307.1, 292.0, 213.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrF<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 364.0518, found 364.0519.

1-Butyl-3-methyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-6-(trifluoromethy-l)indolin-2-one (**3g**). 21.0 mg, 56% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.38 (s, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 3.87–3.80 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.67 (m, 1H), 2.93–2.84 (m, 1H), 2.75–2.66 (m, 1H), 1.70– 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.47–1.39 (m, SH), 0.99 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.1, 143.2, 135.1, 131.1 (q, *J* = 32.4 Hz), 125.2 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 124.1, 124.0 (q, *J* = 272.2 Hz), 119.6 (q, *J* = 4.1 Hz), 105.5 (q, *J* = 3.8 Hz), 44.4, 40.6 (q, *J* = 28.6 Hz), 40.3, 29.3, 25.4, 20.2, 13.8; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 353.2, 334.1, 310.1, 297.1, 282.1, 198.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>6</sub>NNaO<sup>+</sup>, 376.1107, found 376.1116.

1,3,6-Trimethyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3h**).<sup>15</sup> 18.2 mg, 71% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.14 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.82–2.73 (m, 1H), 2.68–2.59 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.38 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  179.0, 143.0, 138.8, 128.2, 125.4 (q, J = 278.1 Hz), 123.5, 123.3, 109.5, 44.3, 40.8 (q, J = 28.0 Hz), 26.5,

25.2, 22.0; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 257.1, 222.1, 174.1, 159.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 258.1100, found 258.1108.

1,3-Dimethyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3i**).<sup>15</sup> 14.5 mg, 60% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.36–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.91–2.79 (m, 1H), 2.73–2.62 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.6, 143.0, 131.2, 128.7, 125.4 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 123.7, 122.8, 108.6, 44.5, 40.8 (q, *J* = 28.1 Hz), 26.6, 25.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 244.0944, found 244.0949.

1-Isopropyl-3,6-dimethyl-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3***j*).<sup>15</sup> 17.3 mg, 61% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.15 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.88 (m, 2H), 4.67–4.59 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.67–2.59 (m, 1H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.51–1.49 (m, 6H), 1.38 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  178.6, 141.8, 138.4, 128.6, 125.4 (q, *J* = 278.3 Hz), 123.6, 122.7, 111.2, 44.1, 44.0, 40.9 (q, *J* = 27.8 Hz), 25.6, 22.1, 19.4, 19.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 285.1, 270.1, 242.1, 160.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 286.1413, found 286.1418.

1-Butyl-3-methyl-7-nitro-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3k**). 5 mg, 14% yield, yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.67 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.99–3.91 (m, 1H), 3.81–3.73 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.74–2.63 (m, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 1.41–1.36 (m, 2H), 1.32–1.26 (m, 2H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  179.0, 136.3, 135.2, 134.9, 127.5, 124.9 (q, *J* = 277.7 Hz), 124.8, 122.3, 43.3, 42.4, 40.9 (q, *J* = 28.4 Hz), 29.7, 25.9, 19.9, 13.8; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/z) 330.1, 287.1, 259.1, 229.1, 213.1, 145.1, 130.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 331.1264, found 331.1270.

1-Butyl-3-methyl-5-nitro-3-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)indolin-2-one (**3k**'). 7 mg, 22% yield, yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.29 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.88–3.81 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.66 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.78–2.66 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.46–1.35 (m, 5H), 0.97 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  178.5, 148.3, 143.4, 132.0, 125.9, 124.9 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 119.7, 108.5, 44.3, 40.7 (q, *J* = 27.8 Hz), 40.6, 29.3, 25.4, 20.2, 13.8; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 330.1, 287.1, 259.1, 229.1, 213.1, 145.1, 130.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>, 331.1264, found 331.1259.

General Procedure for Trifluoromethylation of 4a–4k. In a 10 mL bottom flask, the magnetic stir bar was added. Then it was charged with substrate 4 (0.1 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol),  $CH_3CN$  (2.0 mL),  $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$  (0.005 mmol). The mixture was charged with N<sub>2</sub> three times under -78 °C and then was irradiated under blue LEDs (5 W). After the substrate was consumed (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford the desired product 5.

**Characterization of 5a–5k.** 4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-methyl-N,2-diphenylbutanamide (5a).<sup>15</sup> 21.7 mg, 71% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.47–7.28(m, 9H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 3.30–3.18 (m, 1H), 2.96–2.84 (m, 1H), 1.91 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.5, 140.9, 137.6, 129.3, 129.1, 128.3, 127.0, 126.6 (q, J = 278.2 Hz), 125.2, 120.1, 49.0, 42.2 (q, J = 27.1 Hz), 22.8; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 307.2, 288.1, 188.1, 120.1, 105.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 308.1257, found 308.1259.

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4,4,4-trifluoro-2-methyl-N-phenylbutanamide (**5b**). 20.4 mg, 60% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.44–7.29 (m, 8H), 7.13 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.91–2.79 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  172.9, 139.4, 137.3, 134.4, 129.5, 129.2, 128.4, 126.4 (d, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 125.0, 120.2, 48.6, 42.3 (q, *J* = 27.1 Hz), 22.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.1; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 341.1, 222.1, 187.1, 157.1, 120.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 342.0867, found 342.0877.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methyl-N-phenylbutanamide (5c). 14.6 mg, 45% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.46–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.17–7.11 (m, 3H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.80 (m, 1H), 1.90 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.2, 162.4 (d, *J* = 248.5 Hz), 137.4, 136.6 (d, *J* = 3.4 Hz), 129.2, 128.8 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 126.4 (d, *J* = 278.0 Hz), 125.0, 120.1, 116.3 (d, *J* = 21.4 Hz), 48.5, 42.4 (q, *J* = 27.1 Hz), 22.9. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.1, –113.6; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 325.2, 205.1, 141.1, 120.1, 92.1, 77.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>4</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 326.1163, found 326.1169.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-N-phenylbutanamide (**5d**).<sup>15</sup> 20.5 mg, 61% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.39–7.28 (m, 6H), 7.11 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.00–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.85 (s, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.26–3.14 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.80 (m, 1H), 1.87 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.9, 159.3, 137.6, 132.5, 129.4, 128.3, 126.6 (q, *J* = 278.3 Hz), 124.7, 120.0, 114.6, 55.4, 48.4, 42.1 (q, *J* = 27.1 Hz), 23.1. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.1; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 337.2, 217.2, 203.1, 135.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 338.1369.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-methyl-N-phenyl-2-p-tolylbutanamide (**5e**).<sup>15</sup> 19.2 mg, 60% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$ 7.35–7.25 (m, 8H), 7.11 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 3.25–3.16 (m, 1H), 2.94–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.88 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.8, 138.1, 137.7, 137.6, 130.0, 129.1, 126.6 (q, *J* = 278.1 Hz), 126.9, 124.7, 120.0, 48.7, 42.13 (q, *J* = 27.0 Hz), 22.9, 21.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 321.2, 202.2, 187.1, 137.1, 119.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NONa<sup>+</sup>, 344.1233, found 344.1236.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-methyl-N,2-di-p-tolylbutanamide (**5f**).<sup>15</sup> 17.0 mg, 51% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.33 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.93–2.81 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.7, 138.0, 137.9, 135.0, 134.4, 130.0, 129.6, 126.6 (q, *J* = 278.4 Hz), 126.9, 120.2, 48.6, 42.2 (q, *J* = 27.4 Hz), 22.9, 21.2, 21.0; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 335.2, 201.2, 187.1, 134.2, 119.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 336.1570, found 336.1587.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-methyl-2-p-tolylbutanamide (**5g**). 21.0 mg, 60% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.34–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.23 (m, 4H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.27–3.15 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.7, 156.8, 138.0, 137.9, 130.6, 129.9, 126.9, 126.5 (q, *J* = 278.4 Hz), 122.1, 114.2, 55.6, 48.5, 42.2 (q, *J* = 26.9 Hz), 22.9, 21.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.0; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m/z*) 351.2, 201.2, 149.1, 122.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m/z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 352.1519, found 352.1534.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methyl-2-p-tolylbutanamide (**5h**). 15.2 mg, 45% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.31–7.22 (m, 6H), 6.96 (t, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 3.24–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.77 (m, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.8, 159.7 (d, *J* = 244.0 Hz), 138.2, 137.7, 133.5 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 130.0, 126.8, 126.4 (q, *J* = 278.4 Hz), 122.1 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz), 115.7 (d, *J* = 22.8 Hz), 48.6, 42.1 (q, *J* = 26.8 Hz), 22.9, 21.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.1, –117.6; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 339.2, 201.2, 187.1, 161.1, 137.1, 119.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>4</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 340.1319, found 340.1324.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-methyl-N-phenyl-2-o-tolylbutanamide (5i). 17.6 mg, 55% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.58–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.25 (m, 7H), 7.14–7.11 (m, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 3.15–3.05 (m, 2H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.9, 137.5, 137.5, 137.5, 132.6, 129.1, 128.7, 127.9, 126.8, 126.7 (q, *J* = 277.6 Hz), 124.8, 124.0, 120.2, 48.7, 39.2 (q, *J* = 26.6 Hz), 25.9, 20.6. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.7; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 321.2, 201.2, 187.1, 161.1, 120.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 322.1413, found 322.1420.

4,4,4-Trifluoro-2-methyl-N-m-tolyl-2-p-tolylbutanamide (5j). 21.1 mg, 63% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.21 (m, 2H), 7.18–7.13 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, *J* = 8.2

Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 3.24–3.12 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.7, 139.1, 138.1, 137.8, 137.5, 130.0, 128.9, 126.9, 126.6 (q, J = 278.2 Hz), 125.5, 120.6, 117.1,48.7, 42.1 (q, J = 26.8 Hz), 22.9, 21.5, 21.2. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –59.0; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 335.2, 202.2, 134.2, 119.2, 91.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>21</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 336.1570, found 336.1576.

*N*-(2-*Chlorophenyl*)-4,4,4-*trifluoro*-2-*methyl*-2-*p*-*tolylbutanamide* (**5***k*).<sup>15</sup> 16.0 mg, 45% yield, yellow solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.29 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 4H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.30–3.18 (m, 1H), 2.98–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.92 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  173.8, 138.1, 137.4, 134.4, 130.0, 129.1, 127.8, 126.8, 126.6 (q, *J* = 278.2 Hz), 124.9, 123.3, 121.5, 49.0, 41.9 (q, *J* = 26.9 Hz), 22.8, 21.2; GC−MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 355.2, 320.2, 201.2, 187.1 119.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>NO<sup>+</sup>, 356.1024, found 356.1026.

**General Procedure for Trifluoromethylation of 6a–6e.** In a 10 mL bottom flask, the magnetic stir bar was added. Then it was charged with substrate 6 (0.1 mmol),  $CF_3SO_2Cl$  (0.2 mmol),  $CH_3CN$  (2.0 mL),  $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2$  (0.005 mmol) and  $K_2HPO_4$  (0.2 mmol). The mixture was charged with N<sub>2</sub> three times under -78 °C and then was irradiated under blue LEDs (5 W). After the substrate was consumed (monitored by TLC), the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to afford the desired product 7.

**Characterization of 7a–7e.** 2,4-Dimethyl-4-(2,2,2trifluoroethyl)isoquinoline-1,3(2H,4H)-dione (**7a**).<sup>16</sup> 18.9 mg, 70% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.31 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (td, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.45 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.43–3.32 (m, 4H), 2.88–2.77 (m, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.7, 163.9, 140.5, 133.9, 129.4, 128.2, 125.8, 125.0 (q, J = 278.8 Hz), 124.4, 44.5 (q, J = 27.6 Hz), 43.7, 31.3, 27.5; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 271.1, 214.1, 145.1, 131.1, 115.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 272.0898, found 272.0894.

2,4,6-Trimethyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)isoquinoline-1,3(2H,4H)dione (**7b**). <sup>16</sup> 17.1 mg, 60% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.18 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 3.41–3.30 (m, 4H), 2.86–2.75 (m, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.9, 163.9, 144.9, 140.5, 129.4, 129.3, 126.1, 125.1 (q, *J* = 278.8 Hz), 121.9, 44.5 (q, *J* = 27.5 Hz), 43.6, 31.3, 27.5, 22.1; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –61.6; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 285.1, 228.1, 159.1, 145.1, 115.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 286.1055, found 286.1050.

6-*Fluoro-2,4-dimethyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)isoquinoline-1,3-*(*2H,4H*)-*dione* (**7c**). 14.4 mg, 50% yield, white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.42–3.33 (m, 4H), 2.81–2.70 (m, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.2, 166.2 (d, *J* = 256.2 Hz), 162.9, 143.5 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 132.5 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz), 124.9 (q, *J* = 278.7 Hz), 120.9 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz), 116.3 (d, *J* = 22.1 Hz), 112.8 (d, *J* = 23.4 Hz), 44.6 (q, *J* = 27.7 Hz), 43.9, 31.2, 27.6; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –61.7, –103.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, *m*/*z*) 289.1, 232.1, 163.1, 149.1, 133.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, *m*/*z*) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>11</sub>F<sub>4</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, 312.0618, found 312.0622.

2-Butyl-4-methyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)isoquinoline-1,3(2H,4H)dione (**7d**). 13.4 mg, 43% yield, colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_H$  8.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.06–4.01 (m, 2H), 3.44– 3.33 (m, 1H), 2.88–2.77 (m, 1H), 1.67–1.58 (m, 5H), 1.43–1.38 (m, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_C$  174.4, 163.6, 140.5, 133.8, 129.5, 128.1, 125.8, 125.1 (q, J = 279.0 Hz), 124.5, 44.3 (q, J = 27.5 Hz), 43.7, 40.7, 31.5, 29.7, 20.3, 13.9; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_F$  –61.4; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 313.2, 298.1, 284.1, 258.1, 230.2, 214.1, 145.1; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>, 336.1182, found 336.1175.

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

2-Benzyl-4-methyl-4-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)isoquinoline-1,3-(2H,4H)-dione (**7e**). 18.7 mg, 54% yield, yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm H}$  8.32 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.52–7.43 (m, 4H), 7.34–7.26 (m, 3H), 5.29–5.20 (m, 2H), 3.47–3.36 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.79 (m, 1H), 1.66 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm C}$  174.5, 163.6, 140.5, 136.9, 134.0, 129.6, 128.7, 128.6, 128.2, 127.6, 125.8, 125.1 (q, J = 279.0 Hz), 124.4, 44.1, 44.0, 44.0 (q, J = 28.0 Hz), 31.7; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta_{\rm F}$  –61.2; GC–MS (EI, QMS, m/z) 347.2, 236.1, 214.1, 145.1, 131.1, 41.2; HRMS (ESI, Q-TOF, m/z) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup>, 370.1025, found 370.1020.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **S** Supporting Information

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for all new compounds. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b00677.

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Authors**

\*E-mail: xyyang@hit.edu.cn.

\*E-mail: xiawj@hit.edu.cn.

## Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for the financial supports from China NSFC (Nos. 21002018, 21072038 and 21472030), SKLUWRE (No. 2015DX01), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No. HIT.BRETIV.201310) and HLJNSF (B201406).

## **REFERENCES**

(1) Boger, D. L.; Boyce, C. W.; Garbaccio, R. M.; Goldberg, J. A. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 787.

- (2) Weinreb, S. M. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2531.
- (3) Ojima, I. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology; Wiley-Blackwell: Chichester, U.K., 2009.
- (4) Kirsch, P. Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis Reactivity Applications; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2004.
- (5) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475.
  (6) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111,
- 455.
- (7) Besset, T.; Schneider, C.; Cahard, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 5048.
- (8) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950.
- (9) Zhu, R.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 12462.
- (10) Zhang, X.-G.; Dai, H.-X.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 11948.
- (11) Hu, M.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 15257.
- (12) Zhang, L.; Chen, K.; Chen, G.; Li, B.; Luo, S.; Guo, Q.; Wei, J.; Shi, Z. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 10.
- (13) Egami, H.; Shimizu, R.; Kawamura, S.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4000.
- (14) Kong, W.; Casimiro, M.; Fuentes, N.; Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13086.
- (15) Kong, W.; Casimiro, M.; Merino, E.; Nevado, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14480.
- (16) Li, L.; Deng, M.; Zhang, S.-C.; Xiong, Y.-P.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 504.
- (17) Yoon, T. P.; Ischay, M. A.; Du, J. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 527.
- (18) Maity, S.; Zheng, N. Synlett 2012, 1851.
- (19) Yoon, T. P. ACS. Catal. 2013, 3, 895.
- (20) Teplý, F. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 2011, 76, 859.
- (21) Xuan, J.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6828.
- (22) Zeitler, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9785.

- (23) Tucker, J. W.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 1617.
- (24) Narayanam, J. M. R.; Stephenson, C. R. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 102.
- (25) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5322.
- (26) Shi, L.; Xia, W.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 7687.
- (27) Xi, H. Y. Y.; Lei, A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 2387.
- (28) Xu, P.; Xie, J.; Xue, Q.-C.; Pan, C.-D.; Cheng, Y.-X.; Zhu, C.-J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14039.
- (29) Wilger, D. J.; Gesmundoa, N. J.; Nicewicz, D. A. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3160.
- (30) Wallentin, C.; Nguyen, J. D.; Finkbeiner, P.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8875.
- (31) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2136.
- (32) Nguyen, J. D.; Tucker, J. W.; Konieczynska, M. D.; Stephenson, C. R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4160.
- (33) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 2037.
- (34) Mizuta, S.; Verhoog, S.; Engle, K. M.; Khotavivattana, T.; Duill, M. O.; Wheelhouse, K.; Rassias, G.; Mdebielle, M.; Gouverneur, V. J.
- Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2505. (35) Kim, E.; Choi, S.; Kim, H.; Cho, E. J. Chem.—Eur. J. 2013, 19,
- (3) LL LN CL : 5 K. F. CL F. LL O. CL 2012 77
- (36) Iqbal, N.; Choi, S.; Kim, E.; Cho, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 11383.
- (37) Mizuta, S.; Engle, K. M.; Verhoog, S.; GaliciaLopez, O.; O'Duill, M.; Medebielle, M.; Wheelhouse, K.; Rassias, G.; Thompson, A. L.;
- Gouverneur, V. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1250.
- (38) Yasu, Y.; Koike, T.; Akita, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9567.
- (39) Oh, S. H.; Malpani, Y. R.; Ha, N.; Jung, Y. S.; Han, S. B. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1310.
- (40) Zhao, G.-L.; Yang, C.; Guo, L.; Sun, H.-N.; Lin, R.; Xia, W.-J. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6302.
- (41) Zhao, Y.-T.; Li, Z.; Yang, C.; Lin, R.; Xia, W.-J. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 622.
- (42) Sun, H.-N.; Yang, C.; Lin, R.; Xia, W.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 2775.
- (43) Sun, H.-N.; Yang, C.; Gao, F.; Li, Z.; Xia, W.-J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 624.
- (44) Zhao, G. L.; Yang, C.; Guo, L.; Sun, H.-N.; Chen, C.; Xia, W.-J. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 2337.
- (45) Guo, L.; Yang, C.; Zheng, L.-W.; Xia, W.-J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 5787.
- (46) Li, X.-Q.; Xu, X.-S.; Hu, P.-Z.; Xiao, X.-Q.; Zhou, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 7343.
- (47) Anna, U.; Maciej, S.; Stefanie V, K.; Christoph, P.; Alexander, P.;
- Wolfgang, B.; Tanja, G. Chem.—Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1444.
- (48) Nagib, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Nature 2011, 480, 224.
- (49) Koike, T.; Akita, M. Top. Catal. 2014, 57, 967.
- (50) Jiang, H.; Huang, C.-M.; Guo, J.-J.; Zeng, C.-Q.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, S.-Y. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 15158.
- (51) Kamiqata, N.; Fukushima, T.; Yoshida, M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1559.
- (52) Xu, J.; Liu, X.-Y.; Fu, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 585.
- (53) Koike, T.; Akita, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 167, 30.
- (54) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598.
- (55) Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8294.
- (56) Rey, V.; Pierini, A. B.; Penenory, A. B. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1223.